

क्रमांक 2398-ज(I)-80/3034—पूर्वी पंजाब युद्ध पुरस्कार अधिनियम, 1948 (जैसा कि उसे हरियाणा राज्य में अपनाया गया है और उसमें आज तक संशोधन किया गया है), की घारा 2(ए)(1ए) तथा 3(1ए) के अनुसार सौंपे गए अधिकारों का प्रयोग करते हुए हरियाणा के राज्यपाल, श्री भागल, पुत्र श्री दया राम, गांव धुसकानी, तहसील व जिला भिवानी, को रबी, 1973 से खरीफ, 1979 तक 150 रुपये वार्षिक तथा रबी, 1980 से 300 रुपये वार्षिक कीमत वाली युद्ध जागीर सनद में दी गई शर्तों के अनुसार सहर्ष प्रदान करते हैं।

क्रमांक 48-ज(I)-80/3038—पूर्वी पंजाब युद्ध पुरस्कार अधिनियम, 1948 (जैसा कि उसे हरियाणा राज्य में अपनाया गया है और उसमें आज तक संशोधन किया गया है), की घारा 2(ए)(1ए) तथा 3(1ए) के अनुसार सौंपे गए अधिकारों का प्रयोग करते हुए हरियाणा के राज्यपाल श्री लाल सिंह, पुत्र श्री मोती सिंह, गांव फतहपुर, तहसील जगाधरी, जिला अम्बाला को खरीफ, 1965 से रबी, 1970 तक 100 रुपये वार्षिक तथा खरीफ, 1970 से खरीफ, 1979 तक 150 रुपये वार्षिक तथा रबी, 1980 से 300 रुपये वार्षिक कीमत वाली युद्ध जागीर सनद में दी गई शर्तों के अनुसार सहर्ष प्रदान करते हैं।

क्रमांक 2397-ज(I)-80/3042—पूर्वी पंजाब युद्ध पुरस्कार अधिनियम, 1948 (जैसा कि उसे हरियाणा राज्य में अपनाया गया है और उसमें आज तक संशोधन किया गया है), की घारा 2(ए)(1) तथा 3(1) के अनुसार सौंपे गए अधिकारों का प्रयोग करते हुए हरियाणा के राज्यपाल श्री छाजू राज, पुत्र श्री धीसा राम, गांव नन्दगांव, तहसील व जिला भिवानी, को रबी, 1974 से खरीफ, 1979 तक 150 रुपये वार्षिक तथा रबी, 1980 से 300 रुपये वार्षिक कीमत वाली युद्ध जागीर सनद में दी गई शर्तों के अनुसार सहर्ष प्रदान करते हैं।

क्रमांक 2393-ज-(I)-80/3046—पूर्वी पंजाब युद्ध पुरस्कार अधिनियम, 1948 (जैसा कि उसे हरियाणा राज्य में अपनाया गया है और उसमें आज तक संशोधन किया गया है) की घारा 2(ए)(1) तथा 3(1) के अनुसार सौंपे गए अधिकारों का प्रयोग करते हुए हरियाणा के राज्यपाल श्री कांशी राम, पुत्र श्री पोखर राम, गांव अम्बाला छावनी, तहसील व जिला अम्बाला, को खरीफ, 1965 से रबी, 1970 तक 100 रुपये वार्षिक तथा खरीफ, 1970 से खरीफ, 1979 तक 150 रुपये वार्षिक तथा रबी, 1980 से 300 रुपये वार्षिक कीमत वाली युद्ध जागीर सनद में दी गई शर्तों के अनुसार सहर्ष प्रदान करते हैं।

रघुनाथ जोशी,

विशेष कार्य अधिकारी, हरियाणा सरकार,

राजस्व विभाग।

**PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT
BUILDINGS AND ROADS BRANCH, HARYANA**

The 21st January, 1981

No. Z-42-A/IV/264-B.—Whereas it appears to the Governor of Haryana that land is likely to be required to be taken by Government, at public expense, for a public purpose, namely, Kherpura approach road in Bhiwani District is hereby satisfied that the land in locality prescribed below is likely to be acquired for the above purpose.

This notification is made under the provision of section IV of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 to all whom it may concern.

In exercise of the power conferred by the aforesaid section the Governor of Haryana is pleased to authorise the officers for the time being engaged in the undertaking with their servants and workmen to enter upon and survey any land in the locality and do all other acts permitted by that section.

Any person interested who has any objection to the acquisition of any land in the locality may within thirty days of publication of this notification file an objection in writing before the Land Acquisition Collector, No. II, Haryana, P.W.D., B. & R. Ambala Cantt.

SPECIFICATION

District	Tehsil	Locality/Village	Area in Acres	Remarks
Bhiwani	Bhiwani	Tatani	2.66	5
			19, 20, 21, 22	
			10	
			2 2 9 9	
			1 2 1 2	12, 13, 18, 19, 22, 23

District	Tehsil	Locality/Village	Area in Acres	Remarks
Bhiwani	Bhiwani	Tatani	2.66	21 $\frac{3}{1}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{8}{1}, \frac{8}{2}, \frac{13}{1}, \frac{13}{2}, 14, 17, 18, 23, 24$ 26
Bhiwani	Bhiwani	Kherpura	2.21	250 $3, 4, \frac{7}{1}, \frac{7}{2}, \frac{14}{1}, \frac{14}{2}, 15$ 21, 21 11, 12, 19, 20, $\frac{1}{1}, \frac{2}{2}, 22$ 258
				271 1, 2, 9, 10, 11, 12, 19, 20

No. Z-42-A/IV:265-B. Whereas it appear to the Governor of Haryana that land is likely to be required to be taken by Government at public expenses for a public purpose, namely Kirawar approach Road it is hereby notified that the land in locality described below is likely to be required for the above purpose.

This notification is made under the provision of section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, to all whom it may concern.

In exercise of the powers conferred by the aforesaid section the Governor of Haryana is please to authorise the officer for the time being engaged in the under taking with their servants and workman to enter upon and survey and land in the locality and to do all other acts required or permitted by the section.

Any person interested who has any objection to the acquisition of any land in the locality may within the 30 day of the publication of this notification file an objection in writing before the Land Acquisition collector, No. II, Haryana P. W. D. B. & R. Ambala Cantt.

SPECIFICATION

District	Tehsil	Locality	Area in Acres	Remarks
Bhiwani	Bhiwani	Kirawar	6.34	200, 221, 224, 225 104
				16, 17, 18, 23 1, 1, 1, 2
				105
				16, 17, 17 1, 2, 18 to 23 1, 2, 25
				106
				16 to 25, 16 to 25

District	Tehsil	Locality	Area in Acres	Remarks
Bhiwani	Bawani Khera	Kirawar— <i>concld</i>		108
			16 to 21, $\frac{22}{1}, \frac{22}{2}, \frac{23}{1}$	
				108
			$\frac{23}{2}, 24, 25$	
			112	113
			$6, \frac{7}{1}, \frac{7}{2}, 8 \text{ to } 15$	$\frac{5}{1}, \frac{5}{2}, 6, 15$

No. Z-42-A/IV/266-B.—Whereas it appears to the Government of Haryana that land is likely to be required to be taken by Government, at public expenses, for a public purpose, namely, Mundhal-Talu road in Bhiwani District, it is hereby notified that the land in locality described below is likely to be required for the above purpose.

This notification is made under the provision of section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, to all whom it may concern.

In exercise of the powers conferred by the aforesaid section, the Governor of Haryana is pleased to authorise the officers for the time being engaged in undertaking with their servants and workmen to enter upon and survey any land in the locality and do all other acts permitted by that section.

Any person interested who has any objection to the acquisition of any land in the locality may, within thirty days of the publication of this notification file an objection in writing before the Land Acquisition Collector, No. II, Haryana P.W.D., B&R Branch, Ambala Cantt.

SPECIFICATION

District	Tehsil	Locality	Area in acres	Remarks
Bhiwani	Bawani Khera	Mundhal Khurd	0.50	96 —, 8, 13, 18, 3, 8 13
Do	Do	Bandhaheri	5.64	227 107 —, 143, 17, 23, 24, 25, 113 —, 2, 3, 4
				113 —, 8, 8, 9, 12, 19, 19, 22, 13
				—, 1, 2, 1, 2, 22, 13
				114 —, 2, 8, 9, 13, 3, 133

District	Tehsil	Locality	Area in acres	Remarks
Bhiwani	Bawani Khera	Mundhal Kalan	6.06	45
				$\frac{17}{1}, \frac{17}{2}, 18, 10, 11, 15, 16, 20$
			68	77
			$\frac{25}{4}, \frac{5}{6}, \frac{7}{13}, \frac{14}{17}$	
			77	
			$18, 22, 23$	
			86	
			$1, 2, 9, 10, 11, 20$	
			85	
			$15, 16, 17, 24, 25$	
			119	130
			$3, 9, 8$	
Do	Do	Talu	15.21	28
			12	12
			$11, 8, 9, \frac{1}{1}, \frac{1}{2}, 19, 20, 21, 342$	
			50	
			$\frac{5}{1}, \frac{5}{2}, 6, 7, 14, 15, 13, 18, 19, 22$	
			50	
			$23, 4$	
			29	58
			$\frac{25}{1}, \frac{10}{1}, \frac{10}{2}, 11$	
			59	
			$25, 6, \frac{15}{1}, \frac{15}{2}, 16, 17, 24$	
			80	
			$3, 4, 8, 9, 12, 13, 19, 20, 21, 22$	
			95	96
			$\frac{1}{1}, \frac{1}{2}, 10$	$6, \frac{15}{1}, \frac{15}{2}, 16, 17, 24, 25$

District	Tehsil	Locality	Area in acres	Remarks
Bhiwani	Bhawani Khera	Talu— <i>concld</i>		
			115	
			3, 4, 7, 8	$\frac{13}{1}, \frac{13}{2}, 18, 19, 22, 23$
			2, $\frac{3}{1}$, 9,	$\frac{12}{1}, \frac{12}{2}, \frac{19}{1}, \frac{19}{2}, \frac{22}{1}, \frac{22}{2}$
			$\frac{2}{1}, \frac{2}{2}$	—, 334, 356, 430, 560
			$\frac{1}{1}, \frac{2}{2}$	9, 12, 19, 22
			152	

No. Z-42-A/IV/267-B.—Whereas it appears to the Government of Haryana that land is required to be taken by Government, at the public expense, for a public purpose, namely, constructing Hissar Miran road to village Dubeta via Bura road, it is hereby declared that the land described in the specification below is required for the above purpose.

This declaration is made under the provision of section VI of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, to all whom it may concern and under provision of section VII of the said Act, the Land Acquisition Collector, Haryana P.W.D., B. & R. Branch, Ambala Cantt., is hereby directed to take order of the acquisition of the said land.

Plans of the land may be inspected in the offices of the Land Acquisition Collector No. II, Haryana Public Works Department, Buildings and Roads Branch, Ambala Cantt. and the Executive Engineer, P.W.D., B. & R. Branch, Bhiwani.

SPECIFICATION

District	Tehsil	Locality/ Village & Habdast No.	Area in acres	Khasra No.
Bhiwani	Bhiwani	Bura	7.83	38, 39
			24, 25	21, 22, 23, 24, 25
			2	
			40	
			21, 22, 23, 24, 25	
			97, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5	53, 54

District	Tehsil	Locality/ Village and Hadbast No.	Area in acres	Khasra No.
Bhiwani	Bhiwani	Bura— <i>concl'd</i>		44
			16, 17, 24, 25	45
			55	
			4, 5, 20, 21, 21	
			2, 1, 2, 22, 23, 24, 25	
			46	
			20, 21	
Do	Do	Dubeta	2.71	33
			16, 17, 18, 19, 22, 23, 24, 25	
			34	
			16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25	

(Sd.) ,

Superintending Engineer,
Bhiwani Circle, P.W.D., B.&R. Branch.

LABOUR DEPARTMENT

The 25th November, 1980

No. 11(112)-80-8Lab/12043.—In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Faridabad, in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s Anil Rubber Mills, Plot No. 30, Sector 6, Faridabad.

BEFORE SHRI M. C. BHARDWAJ, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL,
HARYANA, FARIDABAD

Reference No. 162 of 1977

between

SHRI BABU LAL, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S ANIL RUBBER MILLS
PLOT NO. 30, SECTOR 6, FARIDABAD

Present :

Shri P. K. De, for the workman.

Shri H. R. Dua, for the management.

AWARD

By order No. ID/FD/359-77/43435, dated 3rd October, 1977, the Governor of Haryana referred the following dispute between the management of M/s. Anil Rubber Mills, Plot No. 30, Sector 6, Faridabad and its workman Shri Babu Lal, to this Tribunal, for adjudication, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 :—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Babu Lal was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

On receipt of the order reference, notices were issued to the parties. The parties appeared and filed their pleadings. On the pleadings of the parties, following issues were framed on 19th January, 1978 :—

- (1) Whether the dispute is pre-mature ?
- (2) Whether the workman is employed elsewhere gainfully ?
- (3) Whether the termination of services of the workman concerned is justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?
- (4) Whether the domestic enquiry is in accordance with the principles of natural justice ?

And the case was fixed for the evidence of the management. Issue No. 4 was treated as preliminary and it was decided,—*vide* order dated 9th April, 1980 in favour of the management. Then the case was fixed for the evidence of the management on the other issues. The management examined Shri Anil Khanna partner as MW-2 and closed their case. The workman examined as his own witness and closed his case. Arguments were heard. I now give my finding issuewise :—

Issues No. 1 and 2.—On these issues the management did not lead any evidence, nor these issues were taken at the time of arguments. Therefore, these issues are decided against the management.

Issue No. 3.—MW-2 stated that on the chargesheet issued to the workman, enquiry was held and enquiry report was considered by the management. Charges being of serious nature and proved by the Enquiry Officer in his finding the services of the workman were terminated. In cross-examination he stated that the chargesheet and finding of enquiry were basis of the termination order. He further stated that report of theft was not lodged by the management with the police. The workman concerned stated that he joined in 1974 as a Weaver. He was served with a chargesheet which was replied by him. The punishment of dismissal is very excessive. In cross-examination he denied that he did not reply Ex. MX.

I have gone through the file. The management issued show cause notice Ex. MX to the workman before taking action of dismissal against him. The dismissal order Ex. W-2 also states that his explanation has been considered by the management. The Charges levelled against the workman were of theft. The enquiry had already been held to be proper. As regards the quantum of punishment there is no mitigating circumstances for giving lesser punishment. I find the order of the management justified. This issue is decided in favour of the management.

While answering th. reference, I give my award that the termination of services of the workman concerned was justified and in order. The workman is not entitled to any relief. I order accordingly.

M. C. BHARDWAJ,

Dated the 22nd October, 1980.

Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad.

No. 970, dated 27th October, 1980

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

M. C. BHARDWAJ,

Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,
Faridabad.

H. L. GUGNANI, Secy.